Public Document Pack



PLANNING COMMITTEE AGENDA

7.30 pm

Thursday 21 December 2023

Havering Town Hall, Main Road, Romford

Members 7: Quorum 4

COUNCILLORS:

Conservative Group (3)

Robby Misir Philippa Crowder Carol Smith Havering Residents' Group (3)

Bryan Vincent (Chairman) Reg Whitney (Vice-Chair) Gerry O'Sullivan Labour Group (1)

Matthew Stanton

For information about the meeting please contact:
Taiwo Adeoye - 01708 433079
taiwo.adeoye@onesource.co.uk

To register to speak at the meeting please call 01708 433100 before Tuesday 19 December on the week of the meeting

Under the Committee Procedure Rules within the Council's Constitution the Chairman of the meeting may exercise the powers conferred upon the Mayor in relation to the conduct of full Council meetings. As such, should any member of the public interrupt proceedings, the Chairman will warn the person concerned. If they continue to interrupt, the Chairman will order their removal from the meeting room and may adjourn the meeting while this takes place.

Excessive noise and talking should also be kept to a minimum whilst the meeting is in progress in order that the scheduled business may proceed as planned.

Protocol for members of the public wishing to report on meetings of the London Borough of Havering

Members of the public are entitled to report on meetings of Council, Committees and Cabinet, except in circumstances where the public have been excluded as permitted by law.

Reporting means:-

- filming, photographing or making an audio recording of the proceedings of the meeting;
- using any other means for enabling persons not present to see or hear proceedings at a meeting as it takes place or later; or
- reporting or providing commentary on proceedings at a meeting, orally or in writing, so
 that the report or commentary is available as the meeting takes place or later if the
 person is not present.

Anyone present at a meeting as it takes place is not permitted to carry out an oral commentary or report. This is to prevent the business of the meeting being disrupted.

Anyone attending a meeting is asked to advise Democratic Services staff on 01708 433076 that they wish to report on the meeting and how they wish to do so. This is to enable employees to guide anyone choosing to report on proceedings to an appropriate place from which to be able to report effectively.

Members of the public are asked to remain seated throughout the meeting as standing up and walking around could distract from the business in hand.

DECLARING INTERESTS FLOWCHART - QUESTIONS TO ASK YOURSELF What matters are being discussed? D Does the business relate to or is it likely to affect a disclosable pecuniary interest. These will include the Р interests of a spouse or civil partner (and co-habitees): • any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation that they carry on for profit or gain; · any sponsorship that they receive including contributions to their expenses as a councillor; or the councillor's election expenses from a Trade Union; any land licence or tenancy they have in Havering any current contracts leases or tenancies between the Council and them: • any current contracts leases or tenancies between the Council and any organisation with land in Havering in they are a partner, a paid Director, or have a relevant interest in its shares and securities; any organisation which has land or a place of business in Havering and in which they have a relevant interest in its shares or its securities. Declare Interest and Leave YES Might a decision in relation to that business be reasonably be regarded as affecting (to a greater extent than Е the majority of other Council Tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of ward affected by the decision) R Your well-being or financial position; or s The well-being or financial position of: 0 o A member of your family or any person with whom you have a close association; or N · Any person or body who employs or has appointed such persons, any firm in which they are Α a partner, or any company of which they are directors; L - Any person or body in whom such persons have a beneficial interest in a class of securities exceeding the nominal value of £25,000; N o Any body of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management and to which you are appointed or nominated by your Authority; or т Е o Any body exercising functions of a public nature, directed to charitable purposes or whose R principal includes the influence of public opinion or policy (including any political party or trade union) of which you are a Ε member or in a position of general control or management? s Ε s You must disclose the existence and nature of your personal interests Ε C U Would a member of the public, with You can participate in the N knowledge of the relevant facts meeting and vote (or reasonably regard your personal remain in the room if not a interest to be so significant that it is NO member of the meeting) Α likely to prejudice your R E s Does the matter affect your financial position or the financial position of any person or body through whom you have a personal interest? N Does the matter relate to an approval, consent, licence, permission or registration that affects you or any person or body with which you have a personal interest? Т NO Does the matter not fall within one of the exempt categories of decisions? E R Ε Ε s s Т Speak to Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting to avoid allegations of corruption or bias

AGENDA ITEMS

1 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman will announce details of the arrangements in case of fire or other events that might require the meeting room or building's evacuation.

These are the arrangements in case of fire or other events that might require the meeting room or building's evacuation. (Double doors at the entrance to the Council Chamber and door on the right hand corner (marked as an exit).

Proceed down main staircase, out the main entrance, turn left along front of building to side car park, turn left and proceed to the "Fire Assembly Point" at the corner of the rear car park. Await further instructions.

I would like to remind members of the public that Councillors have to make decisions on planning applications strictly in accordance with planning principles.

I would also like to remind members of the public that the decisions may not always be popular, but they should respect the need for Councillors to take decisions that will stand up to external scrutiny or accountability.

Would members of the public also note that they are not allowed to communicate with or pass messages to Councillors during the meeting.

2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

(if any) - receive.

3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

Members are invited to disclose any interest in any of the items on the agenda at this point of the meeting.

Members may still disclose any interest in an item at any time prior to the consideration of the matter.

4 MINUTES (Pages 7 - 8)

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 23 November 2023 and to authorise the Chairman to sign them.

Planning Committee, 21 December 2023

5 APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION (Pages 9 - 12)

See attached document

6 P1135.23 - LAND TO THE REAR 138 TO 140 STATION ROAD HORNCURCH (Pages 13 - 24)

Report attached

Zena Smith
Head of Committee and Election
Services



Public Document Pack Agenda Item 4

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE Havering Town Hall, Main Road, Romford 23 November 2023 (7.30 - 8.00 pm)

Present:

COUNCILLORS:

Conservative Group Robby Misir, Timothy Ryan and Carol Smith

Havering Residents'

One and

Bryan Vincent (in the Chair) Reg Whitney (Vice-Chair) and Gerry O'Sullivan

Group and

Labour Matthew Stanton

35 members of the public and a representative of the Press were present.

Unless otherwise indicated all decisions were agreed with no vote against.

Through the Chairman, announcements were made regarding emergency evacuation arrangements and the decision making process followed by the Committee.

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

Apologies were received from Councillor Philippa Crowder (Councillor Tim Ryan substituted).

2 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

There were no disclosures of interest.

3 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 6 July 2023 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

4 P1225.23 - 148A CHASE CROSS ROAD

The report before Members detailed an application that sought to continue the hours of the operation for the site between 12 noon and 7:30pm, (Monday to Friday) and 12 noon to 5:30pm on Saturday, Sunday and Bank

Holidays. It was considered that subject to other conditions controlling the activities of the community centre, the extended hours of use would continue to be acceptable in relation to any impacts upon the amenities of the neighbouring properties and all other respects.

The Committee considered the report and noted that the application had been called - in by Councillor Ray Best.

In accordance with the speaking arrangements, Councillor Ray Best was absent to address the Committee and as such the application was passed/reverted to the Director of Planning & Public Protection to **GRANT** planning permission subject to the conditions as set out in the report.

5 STOPPING UP ORDER - LAND AT HILLDENE CLOSE

The Committee considered the Stopping Up Order and AGREED to authorise the Stopping Up Order of adopted public highway on the plan(s) entitled Hilldene Close, Romford).

Agenda Item 5

Applications for Decision

Introduction

- 1. In this part of the agenda are reports on planning applications for determination by the committee.
- 2. Although the reports are set out in order on the agenda, the Chair may reorder the agenda on the night. Therefore, if you wish to be present for a specific application, you need to be at the meeting from the beginning.
- 3. The following information and advice only applies to reports in this part of the agenda.

Advice to Members

Material planning considerations

- 4. The Committee is required to consider planning applications against the development plan and other material planning considerations.
- 5. The development plan for Havering comprises the following documents:
 - London Plan Adopted March 2021
 - Havering Local Plan 2016 2031(2021)
 - Site Specific Allocations (2008)
 - Site Specific Allocations in the Romford Area Action Plan (2008)
 - Joint Waste Development Plan (2012)
- 6. Decisions must be taken in accordance with section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 requires the Committee to have regard to the provisions of the Development Plan, so far as material to the application; any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application; and any other material considerations. Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires the Committee to make its determination in accordance with the Development Plan unless material planning considerations support a different decision being taken.
- 7. Under Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas)
 Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for development which affects listed buildings or their settings, the local planning authority must have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of architectural or historic interest it possesses.
- 8. Under Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas)
 Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for development

- which affects a conservation area, the local planning authority must pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.
- 9. Under Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, in considering whether to grant planning permission for any development, the local planning authority must ensure, whenever it is appropriate, that adequate provision is made, by the imposition of conditions, for the preservation or planting of trees.
- 10. In accordance with Article 35 of the Development Management Procedure Order 2015, Members are invited to agree the recommendations set out in the reports, which have been made based on the analysis of the scheme set out in each report. This analysis has been undertaken on the balance of the policies and any other material considerations set out in the individual reports.

Non-material considerations

- 11. Members are reminded that other areas of legislation cover many aspects of the development process and therefore do not need to be considered as part of determining a planning application. The most common examples are:
 - Building Regulations deal with structural integrity of buildings, the physical performance of buildings in terms of their consumption of energy, means of escape in case of fire, access to buildings by the Fire Brigade to fight fires etc.
 - Works within the highway are controlled by Highways Legislation.
 - Environmental Health covers a range of issues including public nuisance, food safety, licensing, pollution control etc.
 - Works on or close to the boundary are covered by the Party Wall Act.
 - Covenants and private rights over land are enforced separately from planning and should not be considered.

Local financial considerations

- In accordance with Policy 6.5 of the London Plan (2015) the Mayor of London has introduced a London wide Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to fund CrossRail.
- 13. Other forms of necessary infrastructure (as defined in the CIL Regulations) and any mitigation of the development that is necessary will be secured through a section 106 agreement. Where these are necessary, it will be explained and specified in the agenda reports.

Public speaking and running order

- 14. The Council's Constitution allows for public speaking on these items in accordance with the Constitution and the Chair's discretion.
- 15. The items on this part of the agenda will run as follows where there are registered public speakers:

- a. Officer introduction of the development
- b. Registered Objector(s) speaking slot (3 minutes)
- c. Responding Applicant speaking slot (3 minutes)
- d. Ward Councillor(s) speaking slots (3 minutes)
- e. Officer presentation of the material planning considerations
- f. Committee questions and debate
- g. Committee decision
- 16. The items on this part of the agenda will run as follows where there are no public speakers:
 - a. Where requested by the Chairman, officer presentation of the main issues
 - b. Committee questions and debate
 - c. Committee decision

Late information

17. Any relevant material received since the publication of this part of the agenda, concerning items on it, will be reported to the Committee in the Update Report.

Recommendation

18. The Committee to take any decisions recommended in the attached report(s).





Planning Committee 21 December 2023

Application Reference: P1135.23

Location: Land to the rear 138 to 140 Station

Road

Ward: St Andrew's

Description: 1x two storey, 2-bed, detached

dwelling with associated parking and

amenity space

Case Officer: Kelvin Naicker

Reason for Report to Committee: A Councillor call-in has been received

which accords with the Committee

Consideration Criteria

1 SUMMARY OF KEY REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- 1.1 The proposed dwelling would be acceptable from a design standpoint and would not have a detrimental impact on the surrounding street scene.
- 1.2 Furthermore, it is judged that the scale and sitting of the proposed dwelling would not result in material harm to neighbouring amenity.

2 RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 That the Committee resolve to GRANT planning permission subject to :
- 2.2 That the Assistant Director Planning is delegated authority to issue the planning permission and impose conditions [and informatives] to secure the following matters:

Conditions

- 1. SC04 Time limit
- 2. SC32 Accordance with Plans
- 3. SC10C Materials
- 4. SC11 Landscaping
- 5. SC13B Boundary Treatment
- 6. NSC31 First Floor Flank Window
- 7. SC45A Removal of Permitted Development Rights
- 7. SC46 Standard Flank Window Condition
- 9. Non-Standard Condition Hours for Demolition, Construction Works or Deliveries
- 10. Building Regulations Condition
- 11. Water Efficiency Condition
- 12. Ultra-Low NOx Boilers Condition
- 13. Refuse Storage Condition (Pre-Occupation)
- 14. Cycle Storage Condition (Pre-Occupation)
- 15. SC06 Parking Provision
- 16. SC96 Electric Vehicle Parking

Informatives

- 1. Approval and CIL
- 2. INF27 Highways Informative
- 2. INF29 Approval following Revision

3 PROPOSAL AND LOCATION DETAILS

Site and Surroundings

3.1 The application site is situated to the rear of nos. 138 and 140 Station Lane.

It is neither listed, nor within a Conservation Area.

Proposal

3.2 Planning permission is sought for the erection of a 1x two storey, 2-bed, detached dwelling with associated parking and amenity space

This application is a resubmission of the previously refused planning application P0158.23. The key issue in this case therefore is whether the revised proposal overcomes the previously stated concerns. The previous application was refused planning permission for the following reasons:

 The proposed dwelling would, by reason of its detached built form and roof and fenestration design, appear as an incongruous form of development along Suttons Gardens, out of character with the surrounding environment and established pattern of dwellings. The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policies 7, 10 and 26 of the Havering Local Plan 2016-2031 and also Paragraph 130(a) of the NPPF which requires fundamentally that development add to the overall quality of the area whilst also establishing or maintaining a strong sense of place.

- 2. The proposed development would, by reason of its limited floor-to-ceiling heights, storage space, and lack of shower room or bathroom on the ground floor result in a low quality design that would be to the detriment of future occupants, contrary to the provisions of Policy D6 of the London Plan 2021 and Policy 7 of the Havering Local Plan 2016-2031.
- 3. The proposed dwelling would, by reason of its proximity to the rear garden environments of nos. 134 and 136 Station Lane, cause overlooking and loss of privacy which would have a serious and adverse effect on the living conditions of these neighbouring occupiers, contrary to Policies 7 and 10 of the Havering Local Plan 2016-2031.
- 4. The proposed development would result in an overprovision of car parking spaces, which would fail to align with Policy T6.1 of the London Plan 2021, which seeks to reduce private car use.

A home office on the first floor of the dwelling was originally proposed. During the application process, however, the case officer expressed concerns about outlook from this room as its window would face the flank wall of the neighbouring dwelling 2 Suttons Gardens, which also benefitted from flank windows at first-floor level. The internal layout of the dwelling was thus subsequently amended so as for this particular room to now serve a bathroom instead. The study would now face the rear garden environment of the proposed dwelling following the revised layout, but concerns were expressed about the proximity of the proposed study to the rear boundary of the application site resulting in overlooking of the rear garden environments of 134 and 136 Station Lane. As such, the applicant was advised to consider obscure-glazing the window to this room. This amendment was also agreed to. To minimise the number of pre-commencement conditions imposed in the event the application is approved, modified drawings illustrating the boundary proposed treatments at the application site were received during the application process. As the amendments to the scheme did not involve any additions to the bulk, scale and mass of the proposal, so it was not considered necessary to re-consult neighbouring properties about the revisions.

This application differs from the previously refused scheme in the following key areas:

- 1. The built form has been revised so as for the proposal to have a traditionally pitched roof
- One car parking space is proposed as part of the scheme as opposed to two

Planning History

3.3 P0158.23 (1x two storey, 2-bed, detached dwelling with associated parking and amenity space, following demolition of existing garage) – Refused

4 CONSULTATION RESPONSE

- 4.1 The views of the Planning Service are expressed in the MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS section below.
- 4.2 The following comments were made by the stakeholders listed below:
 - Anglican Water Comments only provided on planning applications for major proposals of 10 dwellings or more, or if and industrial or commercial development, 500sqm or greater.
 - Thames Water No comments
 - ❖ London Fire Brigade No additional hydrants are required. Happy for works to go ahead as planned.
 - ❖ LBH Public Protection No objection in relation to contaminated land. Recommended that conditions relating to air quality be imposed were the application to be approved.
 - ❖ LBH Highways No comments
 - ❖ LBH Waste and Recycling Waste storage to be provided. Waste and recycling sacks will need to be presented by 7am on the boundary of the property facing Suttons Gardens on the scheduled collection day.
 - ❖ LBH Street Name and Numbering Application will be required to be street named and numbered.

5 LOCAL REPRESENTATION

- 5.1 Neighbouring properties were notified about the application and invited to comment.
- 5.2 The number of representations received from neighbours, local groups etc in response to notification and publicity of the application were as follows:

No of individual responses: 2 of which, 2 objected

5.3 The following Councillor made representaions:

Councillor Paul Middleton wishes to call the application in for the following reasons:

- 1. The layout and density of the building.
- 2. Overlooking and loss of privacy.
- 3. Impact on highway safety.
- 4. Adequacy of parking. Taking parking from a recent development to create this development.
- 5. Impact on visual amenity.
- 6. Loss of light or overshadowing resulting from the development

Representations

5.4 The following issues were raised in representations that are material to the determination of the application, and they are addressed in substance in the next section of this report:

Objections

- Proposal would cause noise and visual disturbance
- Proposal would have an adverse impact on view and landscape from windows and balconies
- Concerned about environmental issues arising from the proposal due to removal of greenspace as well as problems relating to pollution and odor
- Concerned proposal would cause parking stress
- Concerned privacy would be compromised and obstruction of natural light
- Concerned proposed location of cycle stores would intrude on living area

Non-Material Representations

- 5.5 The following procedural issues were raised in representations, but are not material to the determination of the application:
 - Concerns about issues related to hygiene
 - ➤ OFFICER COMMENT: Matters relating to hygiene issues are not a material planning consideration.

Procedural Issues

There were no procedural issues raised.

6 MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 The main planning issues raised by the application that the committee must consider are:

The main planning issues raised by the application that the committee must consider are:

- Principle of Development
- Quality of accommodation for future occupants

- The visual impact arising from the design and appearance of the proposed dwelling on the area.
- The impact of the proposed dwelling on neighbouring amenity
- Highways and parking issues

6.2 Principle of Development

The National Planning Policy Framework states that housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. The London Plan notes the pressing need for housing and the general requirement to improve housing choice, affordability and quality accommodation. The provision of additional accommodation is consistent with the NPPF and the objectives of the Havering Local Plan 2016-2031 which at Policy 3 is supportive of housing provision in sustainable locations. In addition the London Plan 2021 notes the pressing need for housing and the general requirement to improve housing choice, affordability and quality at Policy H1 whilst also acknowledging that development should optimise housing output subject to local context and character at Policy D1.

In addition to the above the Housing Delivery Test results found that the Council has seen a shortfall in the level of housing delivery compared to the housing requirement over the measured three years. Thus, given the nature of the proposed development, the provisions of Paragraph 11(d) of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework) are engaged. Paragraph 11 (d)(ii) requires an assessment of the proposal against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole.

Having regard to the above and mindful of the provision of additional housing contributing to unmet need there is no in principle objection to the redevelopment of the site. Officers do not consider that there is an in principle objection to the site being brought forward for redevelopment subject to design, amenity and highways considerations of this revised scheme.

The acceptability of the submissions for residential developments on garden and backland sites within the borough is reliant on several policy considerations including Policy 10 of the Local Plan which requires consideration of the following:

- I. Ensure good access and, where possible, retain existing through routes
- II. Retain and provide adequate amenity space for existing and new dwellings
- III. Do not have a significant adverse impact on the amenity of existing and new occupants
- IV. Do not prejudice the future development of neighbouring sites
- V. Do not result in significant adverse impacts on green infrastructure and biodiversity that cannot be effectively mitigated

VI. Within the Hall Lane and Emerson Park Character Areas as designated on the Proposals Map, the subdivision of plots and garden development will not be supported, unless it can be robustly demonstrated that the proposal would not have an adverse impact on the character of the area and that the proposed plot sizes are consistent with the size, setting and arrangement of properties in the surrounding area.

The proposal is judged to comply with parts (I), (IV), (V) and (VI) of Policy 10. Matters relating to parts (II) and (III) will be addressed further on in the report.

6.3 Quality of Accommodation for Future Occupants

Having applied the standards set out in Policy D6 of the London Plan to the proposals, the new dwelling would exceed the required gross internal floor area for a 2B3P unit and would also comply with the standards in terms of bedroom sizes and other design criteria including headroom and storage space. In sharing a front and rear building line with no. 2 Suttons Gardens, the proposed dwelling would have dual aspect with adequate outlook and daylight/sunlight in the opinion of officers.

The proposed dwelling would have an area of approximately 39sqm of outdoor amenity space at the rear of the house. Staff regard the size of the amenity area of the proposed dwelling to be acceptable for the occupants of a 2B4P unit and given the varying depths and sizes of rear gardens along this part of Suttons Gardens (for example, no. 4 benefit from a rear garden over 20m deep, compared to no. 12 which has a rear garden with a maximum depth of around 9m) and Station Lane (for instance, no. 126 benefit from an about 7m deep rear garden environment, compared to no. 134 that has a rear garden over 15m deep), would also not be out of keeping with the established pattern of rear garden environments in the immediate area. The proposal would therefore would comply with part (ii) of Policy 10 of the local plan.

It is noted that in order to provide one car parking space to the front of the proposed dwelling, some communal garden space of no. 138 to 140 Station Lane will be lost to ensure these neighbouring flats maintain three car parking spaces. It is considered the remaining communal garden space as a result of the proposals would be acceptable for the occupants of a 4 x 1-bed and 2 x 2-bed self-contained flat.

Overall, the layout of the proposed dwelling and the neighbouring 138 to 140 Station Lane is considered to be acceptable and is judged to overcome the second refusal reason for P0158.23.

6.4 Visual impact arising from the design/appearance on the area

The proposed development would introduce a detached dwelling on to Suttons Gardens.

The provision of a building of this size and form sought is not considered to be detrimental to the street-scene. Given it would benefit from a traditional hipped roof and projecting bay windows at both ground and first floor level, the proposed dwelling is considered to be informed by the character of other dwellings within the locality.

Therefore, although concerns were previously expressed as part of P0158.23 about the provision of a detached dwelling in this location having an adverse impact on local character, given the design of the proposal now better reflects the design of dwellings within the surrounding area, it is considered difficult to justify a refusal of the scheme owing to the fact that the proposal would be detached.

The proposal is thus considered to be acceptable from a design standpoint and so, the first reason for the refusal of P0185.23 is deemed to have been overcome.

No objections are raised to the removal of part of the existing pillar and railing to the side of 138 and 140 Station Lane.

The proposed development would result in a new dwellinghouse where there is a need to balance built form, massing and architectural design on any additions, enlargement or alterations to the building. Therefore, in the event this application is approved, a condition is proposed to restrict permitted development rights of the proposed dwelling.

6.5 The impact of the development on neighbouring amenity

Consideration has been given to the impacts of the proposed development on neighbouring amenity.

In terms of the relationship of the proposed dwelling to no. 2 Suttons Gardens, there is not considered to be any unacceptable loss of privacy or increased sense of overlooking which would be unneighbourly in planning terms. Whilst new views would be introduced, this is not considered to be significantly greater than overlooking from first floor rear windows that currently exists along this part of Suttons Gardens. This neighbouring property benefits from flank windows that would be affected by the proposed dwelling. According to the applicant, the ground floor flank window serves a staircase whilst the first floor ones (from front to back) serve a walk-in wardrobe, landing and bathroom respectively. Less weight is applied to the impact of the proposal on these windows. It is not considered that significant harm would be caused to the amenity of these flank windows through loss of light, outlook or privacy and although the window of the dwelling's proposed bathroom would directly face this neighbour's flank wall, as the submitted drawings indicate it would be obscure glazed, it is not judged to result in a loss of privacy to this neighbouring property's windows. Given the main mass of the proposed dwelling would share a front and rear building line with this neighbour, it is considered that any amenity impacts arising from the proposed dwelling in terms of loss of light, privacy, outlook and overshadowing would be within reasonable tolerances.

Although it is acknowledged that the dwelling would be readily visible from the first-floor rear windows of 138 and 140 Station, given the separation distance between the flank wall of the proposed dwelling and these neighbouring first-floor rear windows, it is not considered that the proposal would be harmful to the visual amenity of these neighbouring occupants from their windows or balconies nor that it would cause adverse amenity impacts to these neighbours in terms of loss of light, outlook and overshadowing. The proposal would benefit from a first-floor flank window that would directly face the rear garden environment of these neighbouring properties, but as a condition would be imposed in the event this application were approved stipulating that the window should be obscure-glazed and non-opening 1.70m above finished floor level, it is not deemed it would result in overlooking or a loss of privacy. The impacts of the propoed dwelling on these neighbours is thus judged as acceptable and, therefore, the third refusal reason of P0158.23 is considered to have been overcome by the revised scheme.

In terms of the impact of the proposed dwelling on nos. 134 and 136 Station Lane, the proposed dwelling would introduce new and clear views into the rear garden scenes of these properties. Whilst the rear of the proposed dwelling would be directly facing the rear garden environments of these neighbouring properties, the bedroom window of the proposed dwelling would be over 5.50m from the rear boundary of the site and its obscure-glazed study window over 4.50m away. This separation distance means it is considered there would be no significant overlooking or loss of privacy to the enjoyment of the rear garden environment of these neighbours. The impact of these proposals on the amenity of these neighbours is therefore considered to be within reasonable tolerances.

Owing to the separation distances between the proposed dwelling and all other neighbouring properties, it is not considered that the proposed development would present any undue impact on the residential amenity of these neighbouring houses.

Any noise as a result of the proposal is not considered to be so harmful to the amenity of neighbouring houses so as to warrant a refusal of the scheme.

A condition will be imposed stating that no window or other opening shall be formed in the flank walls of the dwellings unless specific permission has been sought and obtained in writing from the Local Planning Authority first to ensure that it would not result in any loss of privacy or damage to the environment of neighbouring properties which exist or may be proposed in the future.

6.6 Parking and Highway Implications

The Public Transport Accessibility Levels (PTAL) rating for the site is 3 which translates to moderate access to public transport. Policy T6.1 of the London Plan indicates that 3+ bed units situated within a outer London area with a PTAL of 2-3 should benefit from a maximum of 1 space per unit.

The submitted drawings indicate that the site would be capable of accommodating one parking space of the required depth and width.

There may be more vehicles parked along Suttons Gardens than existing as a result of the proposals, but given the number of car parking spaces proposed would be policy compliait, staff do not consider that the proposal would result in the intensification of parking stress.

No. 138 to 140 Station Lane currently benefits from three car parking spaces. This number would be maintained as a result of the proposals, thereby meaning the proposals are not considered to result in highways/parking issues for this neighbouring site.

There is scope within the site to make adequate provision for refuse storage and for cycle storage.

For the reasons expressed above, it is not deemed the proposals would have harmful highway impacts and also that parking within the application site would be acceptable. Therefore, the fourth refusal reason of P0158.23 is considered to have been overcome.

6.7 Environmental and Climate Change Implications

Given the limited scale of the proposals, no specific measures to address climate change are required to be secured in this case. Electric vehicle parking will be required by condition. It is not considered that environmental issues relating to the removal of greenspace at no. 138 to 140 Station Lane, pollution or odor issues would be so significant so as to warrant a refusal of the scheme.

6.8 Financial and Other Mitigation

The proposed development would create one new residential unit which cover a total of approximately 83.08m², which is rounded down to 83m². The proposal is liable for Mayoral and Havering CIL, will incur a total charge of £12,450. Mayoral CIL will be £2,075 based on the calculation of £25 per square metre and Havering CIL will be £10,375 based on the calculation of £125 per square metre, all subject to indexation.

6.9 Equalities

The Equality Act 2010 provides that in exercising its functions (which includes its role as Local Planning Authority), the Council as a public authority shall amongst other duties have regard to the need to:

- ➤ Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited under the Act;
- Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it

In this case, the application raises no particular equality issues.

Conclusions

6.10 All other relevant policies and considerations have been taken into account. Planning permission should be granted for the reasons set out above. The details of the decision are set out in the RECOMMENDATION.

